

Battle Hill Draft Management Plan Summary of Submissions and Recommended Changes

No	Name	Address	Submission	Concerns	Suggestions and Comments	Wish to be Heard	Specific Recommendations	Recommended Changes
All Policy and page numbers referred to in this column are those in the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Draft Management Plan 2006 as placed on the GWRC Website and in the formal bound document.								
1	Robert Shaw	43 Eskdale Road Papakowai PORIRUA CITY	Draft objectives ok		1. Join the park to Puketiro Forest to be run as one combined unit, for benefit of tourism and education. 2. Open up tracks through to Upper Hutt but keep track separate for walkers, horses, bikes and 4WDs. 3. Make more use of Ken G Centre			1. No change 2. Continue best practice to achieve. No plan change required 3. Noted, explore opportunities as they arise.
2	R J Badland	Whitemore Valley R D 1 UPPER HUTT	Support Draft Plan and any planning which will minimise on the public use of the Park. Supports the future allowance for education and display.		1. Early farming or logging display which the club could assist with this. 2. Generally supports plan. 3. Supports planning that minimises use of park by the public.	No		1. Noted 2. Noted 3. Disagree
3	Lynette Wharfe Chair Pautahanui Residents Association	R D 1 PORIRUA	Local residents supportive in general of the current management practices.	Part-time Ranger might compromise Park security	1. Impact of Transmission Gully on Park frontage - suggest plantings. 2. Wind turbines not to proceed until adoption of PCC policy on wind farms and full public consultation. 3. Aircraft movement limited to "as required" not for non-essential/commercial reasons. 4. Opportunity to work with locals for co-ordinated pest control and stream/catchment protection	Yes		Concerns 1. Noted Suggestions & Comments 1. Plan has provisions for minimising impacts of TMG. No change recommended 2. Plan accommodates windfarm and consultation will be carried out through RMA process 3. Aircraft in the park will be restricted at ranger's discretion 4. Activity outside the park management plans jurisdiction but may be accommodated in other programs in the future
4	Richard Sadleir	120 Sweetacres Drive Belmont LOWER HUTT	Support the ethic of protection for Park	1. Battle Hill is not gazetted under the Reserves Act. 2. Horokiwi Stream not mentioned for scientific/historical importance	1. Strong stand to be taken with Transit on Transmission Gully to mitigate losses of Park amenities. 2. Wind farm development considered unique and not precedent for other park situations. 3. Request rewording to formally recognise user groups or organisations. 4. Clarification that revenue generated in the park are reinvested into the park	Yes	1. Pg 9, Objectives: Insert "adjacent" before "landowners" 2. Pg 10, 1.1: Insert "protect" before "manage" 3. Pg 10, 1.2: Clarify revenues generated for 'this park' 4. Pg 10, 1.6: strongly support stmnt 5. Pg 11, 1.12, 1.13, 1.14: supported 6. Pg 11, 1.15: request rewrite 7. Pg 13: Recognize significance of Horokiwi stream 8. Pg 39: monitoring proposals have funding assured, assignation of priorities to activities proposed	Concerns 1. Not considered necessary for whole park, but new policy inserted after 1.11 re Gazetting of Native Bush Remnant. 2. Disagree Suggestions & Comments 1. Noted 2. Disagree no change 3. Introduction and Objective rewritten to incorporate user groups. 4. No change considered necessary Specific Recommendations 1. Context is brader than just "adjacent" landowners, however recognised that landmanagers also impact on the park. Incorporate the term Landmanagers into Objectives & relevant policies. 2. Protection accomodated elsewhere in the plan. No change proposed. 3. No change considered necessary 4. Noted 5. Noted 6. Policy reworded for clarification 7. Text box included to reflect significance of Horokiwi Stream 8. Comments re monitoring noted.
5	Roger Blakeley The Chief Executive Porirua City Council	PO Box 50-218 PORIRUA CITY	Park is a significant recreational resource to the region	1. Discrepancy in the ecological sites referred to in Table 1 of the Draft. 2. Permanent legal protection of significant environmental areas and features	1. Changes to use of park should align with PCC Rural Zone provisions in Porirua District Plan (currently under review) 2. Counteract any possible concerns of effects of Transmission Gully impact on park users. 3. Wind farm in Park supported if shown not to impact on public use and enjoyment. 4. Development of a region-wide strategic framework on wind farms. 5. Strongly demonstrated leadership by GWRC required in sustainable land management standards		1. Care selecting site of wind turbines to comply with Obj Pg 18 2. Want more information of threatened or endangered indigenous species in the Park 3. Permanent legal protection of significant areas and features identified Table 1 4. No linkage to the wider Pautahanui Catchment Area.	Concerns 1. Table 1 expanded, coastal vegetation incorporated into a larger block 2. Noted, remnant bush to be gazetted, new policy inserted after 1.11 Suggestions & Comments 1. Timing of land use changes are outside the parameters of this plan 2. Plan has provisions for minimising impacts of TMG. Approval of Works in WRC Forest Lands, Water Collection Areas, Regional Parks and Recreational areas 1994 to be included in list of council policies. 3. Reference to opposition to access to windfarm via Battle Hill noted. 4. Noted, outwith the scope of this plan, No change. 5. Region wide approach to windfarming noted and being addressed outside this plan. Specific Recommendations 1. noted 2. This information is heald within the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Resource Statement 3. Table 1 ammended and new policies inserted after 1.1, and 2.3. 4. New paragraph inserted in section titled "Sustaining our Environment"

Battle Hill Draft Management Plan Summary of Submissions and Recommended Changes

Battle Hill Draft Management Plan Summary of Submissions and Recommended Changes								All Policy and page numbers referred to in this column are those in the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Draft Management Plan 2006 as placed on the GWRC Website and in the formal bound document.
No	Name	Address	Submission	Concerns	Suggestions and Comments	Wish to be Heard	Specific Recommendations	Recommended Changes
6	Ann Neill Central Region General Manager NZ Historic Places Trust	PO Box 19-173 WELLINGTON	Applauds the incorporation of cultural heritage into the Draft	1. No clear explanation of the issues or desired outcomes for cultural heritage within the Park	1. Clarification and consistency in policy wordings suggested 2. Misleading and inaccurate information about historic sites needs correcting. 3. More detail needed on the Heritage Asset Management Programme referred to in Table 4	Yes	1. Policy 1.3, 1.6, 1.11, 2.17, 2.24, 3.1, 3.9, 3.19, 4.9, 5.7: Supports 2. Pg 20: rewrite definition of cultural heritage and clarify that NZHPT does not protect heritage sites unless such places qualify under the HPA definition. Cultural Heritage section: 3. - Objective: amend to read "Cultural heritage values are maintained" 4. - 2.25: direct reference to be made to national standards, attach appendix on protocols 5. - 2.26: what is means by "manage"? How is the "level and urgency of threat" going to be determined? 6. - 2.27: remove quotations around "significance" & questioned validity of available information as a criterion 7. - 2.28: remove to introduction section 8. - 2.29: conservation plans encouraged 9. - 2.30: supports 10. - 2.31: appears to duplicate policy 2.40 and maybe an unnecessary policy 11. - 2.32: more restrictive than overall objective 12. - 2.33: Management Plan is more appropriate 13. - 2.34: supports 14. - 2.35: incorporate with policy 2.34 15. - 2.36, 2.37: no policies 16. - 2.38: supports 17. - 2.39: could be merged with with policy 2.38 18. - 2.40: duplicate policy & legislative requirement so may be unnecessary 19. - 2.41: supports 20. - 2.42: supports but unsure how this differs to policy 2.34 21. Use consistent phrase "Cultural heritage" throughout the plan 22. Pg 43: supports providing further interpretation of park's history 23. Pg 44: reconsider intent of this section 24. More detail be included of "Heritage Asset Management Plan"	<p>Concerns</p> <p>1. Section titled 'Protecting Cultural Heritage' rewritten incorporating suggested changes.</p> <p>Suggestions & Comments</p> <p>1. Section titled 'Protecting Cultural Heritage' rewritten incorporating suggested changes. 2. Misleading and inaccurate information about historic sites corrected in line with suggestions. 3. New policy inserted after 2.27 and inclusion in Council Policies Paragraphs.</p> <p>Specific Recommendations</p> <p>1. noted 2. Clarification of HPT Act 1993's role in protecting cultural heritage adopted. 3. Disagree no change 4. Not appropriate to this plan 5. Policy 2.26 revised and new policy inserted after 2.27 6. Quotation marks removed. No change to remainder of policy as "evidence" of archeological significance is often anecdotal and unresearched but considered "significant" particularly to iwi 7. Policy 2.28 removed and placed in introduction as recommended 8. Noted 9. Noted 10. policy 2.40 retained as considered relevant, but merged into policy 2.31 11. Policy 2.32 relaxed to reflect submitters recommendation 12. noted 13. Noted 14. Policy 2.35 incorporated into Policy 2.34 as suggested. 15. Noted policy numbers amended through entire document. 16. Noted 17. Policy 2.39 incorporated into 2.38 as suggested 18. Not considered a duplication but merged with policy 2.31 19. Noted 20. Policy 2.42 merged with policy 2.34 21. Disagree, no change.22 22. noted 23. Rewritten to clarify and bring upto date 24. New policy inserted after 2.27 and inclusion in Council Policies Paragraphs.</p>
7	J C Horne Submissions Co-Ordinator Wellington Botanical Society	PO Box 10-412 WELLINGTON 6036				Yes	1. Pg 4: revisit the references to indigenous vegetation 2. Pg 11, 1.11: support 3. Pg 13: support, clarify last sentence para 5 4. Pg 14: add "monitored", delete "significant" 5. Pg 14, 2.1 and 2.2: insert "monitor" after "protect" 6. Pg 17, 2.10-2.15: support. 7. Develop a pest animal plan. 8. Pg 17, 2.16: no support of use of adventives for other than pasture purposes 9. Pg 17, 2.17: emphasis given to using indigenous species 10. Pg 18: objectives supported 11. Pg 19, 2.18: replace "take account of" with "protect" 12. Pg 29, 3.30, 3.32, 3.33, 3.35: supported	<p>1. Suggestions incorporated into Vision on Page 4.</p> <p>2. Noted</p> <p>3. Noted, sentence rewritten to clarify.</p> <p>4. "monitored" added to first Objective, Page 14, as recommended, "significant" retained.</p> <p>5. Policies 2.1 and 2.2 amended to include "monitor"</p> <p>6. Supports, no plan change</p> <p>7. Noted, covered by the GWRC "Environmental Asset Management Plan"</p> <p>8. Disagree, no plan change</p> <p>9. Insert new policy after policy 2.8 to encourage the use of indigenous vegetation for erosion control, no change to policy 2.17.</p> <p>10. Noted and no plan change recommended.</p> <p>11. Disagree</p> <p>12. Noted</p>
8	J Chris Horne	28 Kaihuia Street Northland WELLINGTON 6012	Generally supportive	1. Devastation to Park from wind farms and roading	1. Transmission Gully not to proceed. 2. Wind farm access not to cross the park. 3. No wind turbines should be built within the park boundaries. 3. Mountain biking should be prohibited in Area 1	Yes		<p>Concerns</p> <p>1. Noted</p> <p>Suggestions & Comments</p> <p>1. Disagree 2. Disagree 3. Disagree 4. No change necessary, mountain biking is a restricted activity in the bush remnant requiring consent process for exemptions. Table Two amended to address Mt Biking in Bush Remnant</p>
9	Chris Drayton Development Manager RES NZ Ltd	PO Box 27-441 WELLINGTON 6141		1. Inconsistencies, - policies on the wind farm do not fit into overall objectives of the park	1. Plan objectives clarified in regard to the wind farm project to minimise inconsistent Plan objectives and future development decisions in regard to the wind farm	Yes	1. Pg 32, 3.46-48: provide further and clearer policy direction, separate policy on wind farm and/or sustainable renewable energy, wind farm policy to prevail over general objectives in the plan, The following are inconsistent with the wind farm development (as above - seek wind farm development policy to prevail) - Pg 4 Vision: protecting park's landscape values - Pg 11 1.17: concentrate use/development at existing areas - Pg 18, Pg 19: Landscape & Geological values - all - Pg 20: protecting cultural heritage - Pg 24, 3.7: locate facilities/structures at entrance of the park 2. wind farm to be a "managed" activity, further integration in the plan of the wind farm 3. Pg 24 to 29: develop appropriate criteria to give guidelines for policy development 4. Pg 21, 2.32: support the objective but not the policy - remove 5. Pg 30-32: clarify policy to include wind farm, or remove 6. Pg 33: modify "90" to "120" MW, delete reference to 5 metre carriageway	<p>Concerns</p> <p>1. Noted and plan amended as below.</p> <p>Suggestions & Comments</p> <p>1. Noted and plan amended as below.</p> <p>Specific Recommendations</p> <p>1. New Policy inserted before Policy 3.46 to stipulate that any inconsistencies that occur between current park policy and windfarm and the latter will prevail. 2. Disagree, windfarm activity remains a restricted activity Additionally, Broader mention of the windfarm proposal incorporated into the following sections of the plan, (Vision, Overarching Management Objectives & Policies, and The Way Forward,) to ensure plan consistency. 3. Unnecessary in light of New Policy inserted before Policy 3.46 to stipulate that any inconsistencies that occur between current park policy and windfarm and the latter will prevail. 4. Policy 2.32 relaxed as per recommendation of the NZ Historic Places Trust 5. Policy 3.4-3.45 remain but New Policy inserted before Policy 3.46 exempts the Puketiro Windfarm development from these policies 6. Modifications made as recommended</p>
10	Grant Purdie Land Access Officer Cross Country Vehicle Club Wellington Inc	PO Box 38-762 Te Puni LOWER HUTT	Supportive of the Plan to ensure ongoing preservation and development of the park. Appreciation of the park's contribution to club activities and member enjoyment	1. Inconsistencies in headings, structure, & numbering scheme makes document difficult to understand. 2. Maps referenced to but not contained in the Draft may mislead interpretation	1. A number of minor changes in wording, formatting and referencing to ensure objectives are consistent have been identified	No	1. Pg 4: Vision - include mention of "motorised recreation vehicles", 2. "camping" appears twice 3. Pg 19: reference the italics words to "managed access" principles 4. Pg 21, 2.32: include "artefacts" 5. Pg 21: add policy to physically protect against - wear and tear from visitors, stock, weather or erosion - vandalism - natural catastrophes 6. Pg 22: final sentence in italics to mention "preservation and protection of the cultural heritage values" 7. Pg 24: no preamble, no objectives, para 3.6 extended on line 2 with "...contribute to environmental and cultural heritage protection...", footnote references "section 4" incorrectly 8. Pg 25: Table 2 and other references to area 2 for motorised recreation changed to "managed" 9. Appreciation over toilet blocks and water supply for camping area - and if the facilities could be extended to lighting, rudimentary shower facilities. 10. Pg 32, 3.48: replace "or" with "and" 11. Pg 35: first para in Objectives extend the first line to read "...co-operation, communication and understanding..." 12. Pg 43: refine this to recognise the use of existing tracks by other users	<p>Concerns</p> <p>1. Noted, will be amended before publication 2. Noted, Maps to be included in final version</p> <p>Suggestions & Comments</p> <p>1. Typographic errors have been resolved</p> <p>Specific Recommendations</p> <p>1. Motorised recreation not included in vision as not seen as a "principal" activity in the park. 2. one camping removed 3. Noted, no change recommended 4. Policy 2.32 modified 5. Noted, no change recommended as covered by other policies 6. Noted, no change 7. New Preamble and objectives included, policy 3.6 ammended in line with recommendations 8. Table 2 amended and policy 3.18 amended to manage motorised access. 9. Not relevant to this plan as an operational matter, suggestion referred to ranger and asset management planner. No change to plan 10. noted 11. First sentence in Objective on page 35 amended as suggested 12. Included provisions for managing "user conflicts" in "New Tracks" in the "Way Forward" section. The use of motorised vehicles will be managed as per policy 3.18</p>

Battle Hill Draft Management Plan Summary of Submissions and Recommended Changes

No	Name	Address	Submission	Concerns	Suggestions and Comments	Wish to be Heard	Specific Recommendations	Recommended Changes
All Policy and page numbers referred to in this column are those in the Battle Hill Farm Forest Park Draft Management Plan 2006 as placed on the GWRC Website and in the formal bound document.								
11	Michael Taylor Conservation Officer Taranua Tramping Club Inc	PO Box 1008 WELLINGTON 1	Commendation on a positive approach to park management	1. GWRC should undertake Public consultation on the wind farm proposal before the resource consent application 2. Plan should provide more certainty than just a "guide". 3. Maps referenced to but not contained in the Draft may mislead interpretation	1. A number of minor changes in wording to ensure objectives are consistent have been identified 3. WRC to seek "scenic reserve" status for its land managed "for the purpose of reserve"		1. Pg 4, Vision: support, clarify that "ongoing maintenance and operation" does not refer to construction and use of any actual road in conjunction with Transmission Gully 2. Pg 5 and Pg 45 Purpose: strengthen "guide" and "purpose" to give more certainty 3. Park should be designated as a scenic reserve 4. Pg 7 Relationship with other public lands: support 5. Land Acquisition: use the adjective "current" and quantify with actual date 2006 6. Pg 10 Sustainable Mgmt: conflicting criteria with wind farm development 7. Pg 12 Park development: oppose Transmission Gully 8. Landscape value compromised by other conflicting pressures 9. Table 2: change "forest" to "park", include horse riding 10. Pg 29: reword to "are considered inappropriate activities in the park and will be opposed" 11. Pg 30, 31: clarify any significant upgrading, para 3.43 - change "or" to "and" of first bullet point, para 3.45 change from "where appropriate" to "where practical", insert point on "adverse affect on recreational enjoyment from allowing new network utilities" 12. Pg 40 5.8: amend to require "provision to the public"	<p>Concerns</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Noted Recommendation noted, no change to plan recommended Noted, maps to be included in final version. <p>Suggestions & Comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Noted, will be amended before publication <p>Specific Recommendations</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> No change to plans Vision, it is consistent with Network Management Plan & other park management plans Disagree, Plan needs to be flexible enough to allow for future changes in government policy, funding streams through the GWRC LTCCP Annual Plan, changes in land management practices etc. No Change. Plan amended to allow for bush reserve to gazetted with a new policy after policy 1.11 Noted Replaced "current" with "2007" as requested, and expand statement to take into account LTCCP Annual Plan process. Noted Disagree, GWRC are supportive of Transmission Gully Development, & this plan sits within the broader strategic policy guidelines of the council. Disagree, this plan sits within the broader strategic policy guidelines of the council. Noted, this submission has highlighted the wider issue of a lack of policies covering horseriding,dogs, camping & mountain biking that have now been inserted into the plan. Noted, no plan change recommended Points noted, outside of the scope of the plan. Policy 5.8 amended to show that resources will be available for the public
12	B J Mitcalfe	15 Boundary Road WELLINGTON 6012					Editorial changes	Noted and changes made
13	Yana Bosseva Planner Burton Consultants (for Transpower)	PO Box 33-817 Takapuna AUCKLAND			1. Identification of specific policies to be adopted and some that require rewording 2 Clarification made of policies in regard Transpower's network utilities, and a number of reworded policies sought		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Use Objective: generally support. 3.24: replace "utilities development" with "network utilities" 3.31, 3.32: support with no modification Network Utilities: support of the following with no modification <ul style="list-style-type: none"> first sentence of 3rd para first sentence of 4th para last sentence of 5th para 3.35, 3.37, 3.39: supported with no further modification 3.36: include "minor upgrading" 3.38: include a reference to a safe "separation" distances for "all" activities 3.40, 3.41, 3.42, 3.45: supported with no further modification 3.43: amend policy - add exclusion "except for the National Grid" 3.44: please delete New policy requested on vegetation planting. Utilities Map: supported with no further modification 	<p>Suggestions & Comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Noted, see below for changes Noted, see below for changes <p>Specific Recommendations</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Noted, no change considered necessary. Modified from "utilities development" to "network utilities" Noted, & retained Noted, & retained Policy 3.36 amended to include "minor upgrade" Noted, operational matter outwith the scope of this plan. Noted & retained Amended policy 3.43 to include "Except for the National Grid" Disagree no change. Inserted new policy after 3.45 Noted & retained
14	Brenten Higson Saint Bernards College	534 Lincoln Ave Avalon LOWER HUTT	Battle Hill is an educational resource well used by the school and this should continue.					No plan change requested Insert mention of St Bernard School Woodlot in Part D section entitled 'Involving Community Partners.'
15	Neil Bellingham	5 Bimman Place PORIRUA		Minimal mention of the educational value of the park and Ken Gray Education Centre		No		Include mention of educational values of park in the preamble of "Part C Sustaining Opportunities & Use"
16	Kris Ericksen President Wellington Hand Gliding & Paragliding Club	PO Box 9824 WELLINGTON 6141			1. Addition of potential users of the park by hand gliders and Para gliders.	Yes	3.24: alter to "allow hand gliding and paragliding, and to permit the use of other types of aircraft within the park only for management purposes or emergency purposes"	Policy 3.24 ammended to 'motorised aircraft', new policy after policy 3.25 to manage hang gliding.
17	Darryl McMillan Land / Easement Officer Vector	Private Bag 2020 NEW PLYMOUTH			Gas pipelines in the park are subject to the Pipeline Easement Certificate which affords Vector with the right to remove from the easement strip all cultivated or natural vegetation			Noted, no change required, refer also to Map 4 Utilities