

Appendix 6: Summary Recommendation Table

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Zealandia Te Māra a Tāne (S113)	S113.003			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	5.4.4 Uses of beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.	Support		Supports the protection of beds of lakes and rivers, with specific considerations for the protection of inanga.	Not stated		Accept
Zealandia Te Māra a Tāne (S113)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1513	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	5.4.4 Uses of beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Transpower New Zealand Limited (S177)	S177.016			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	5.4.4 Uses of beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.	Amend		Seeks reference to NESETA to highlight to plan users and assist with plan interpretation. Considers it relevant given the potential difference in standards and activity status.	Insert the following to the Interpretation section of the chapter:  Many activities relating to the operation, maintenance, upgrading, relocation or removal of an electricity transmission line and ancillary structures that existed prior to 14 January 2010 are controlled by the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities) Regulations 2009 (NESETA), separate to this Plan. Where the provisions of this Plan conflict with the requirements of the NESETA, the provisions of the NESETA apply. </strong>		Accept
Transpower New Zealand Limited (S177)		Forest & Bird	FS23.759	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	5.4.4 Uses of beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
PF Olsen Ltd (S18)	S18.013			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	5.4.4 Uses of beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.	Amend		Concerned with financial implications of (n) for forestry activities, noting that the s32 report states that economic impact will be low to moderate. States that the NES-CF has not been considered, namely with management plan requirements.	Amend to exclude forestry activities of compliance with the general condition (n).		Reject
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)	S188.022			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	5.4.4 Uses of beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		Accept
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.022	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	5.4.4 Uses of beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)		Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	FS21.027	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	5.4.4 Uses of beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	Accept
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1178	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	5.4.4 Uses of beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents	FS27.1111	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	5.4.4 Uses of beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Beds of Lakes and Rivers – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
		Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")						and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Water New Zealand (S246)	S246.024			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	5.4.4 Uses of beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.	Amend		Questions whether this should be re-written to allow ponding above an intake and encourage a making room for rivers approach. Notes such an approach is only encouraged where appropriate and doesn't increase flood inundation risk to people, property or infrastructure. Considers making room for water allows land to flood safely, while providing a range of benefits such as aquatic and riparian habitat, wetland restoration, carbon sequestration and increased groundwater recharge. It also offers to restore mana whenua connections with their local water. Where streams, wetland and floodplain remain in natural state, they should be prioritised for protection and providing natural hazard mitigation.	Amend to allow ponding above an intake and encourage a making room for rivers approach.		Reject
Rangitāne o Wairarapa (S85)	S85.003			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	5.4.4 Uses of beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.	Amend		Supports proposed changes to beds of lakes and rivers rules to improve clarity. Concerned sites of significance to mana whenua not identified in Schedule C will not be protected.	Amend to include requirement to consult with tangata whenua for activities in the beds of lakes and rivers.		Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Beds of Lakes and Rivers – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Rangitāne o Wairarapa (S85)		Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust	FS2.048	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	5.4.4 Uses of beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.		Support	Support in principle. Support partnership with mana whenua.	Allow	Supports proposed changes to beds of lakes and rivers rules to improve clarity. Concerned sites of significance to mana whenua not identified in Schedule C will not be protected. Amend to include requirement to consult with tangata whenua.	Reject
Rangitāne o Wairarapa (S85)		Forest & Bird	FS23.457	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	5.4.4 Uses of beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
Rangitāne o Wairarapa (S85)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.003	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	5.4.4 Uses of beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.		Support	NHoŌ agrees with the proposed amendments as they improve clarity and provide certainty for the protection of named birds identified in Schedule F2a (birds-rivers) or Schedule F2b (birds-lakes) at all times, rather than only during critical periods.	Allow	Allow the submission point.	Reject
Graeme Iain Shellard, Sarah Elizabeth Shellard, Cameron Anthony Shellard, Finlay David Shellard, Graeme Shellard (S202)	S202.006			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	5.4.5 Uses of beds of lakes and rivers.		Oppose	Consider this unnecessarily limiting to prevent scouring increasing and there are many situations where planting is not appropriate.  Notes gabions and concrete blocks are used throughout the Hutt and Mangaroa valley since in many situations they are appropriate.	Reinstate the use of erosion protection structures.		Reject
Lynn Cadenhead (S22)	S22.018			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	5.4.8 Damming and diverting water		Amend	Considers issues around fish passage are not addressed (Rule R151A). Seeks for discretion to be available to require fish passage for activities which have existed for 10 years or more, where practical.	Enable discretion to require fish passage where practical.		Reject
Neil Deans (S29)	S29.005			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	5.4.8 Damming and diverting water		Amend	Considers issues around fish passage are not addressed (Rule R151A). Seeks for discretion to be available to require fish passage for activities which have existed for 10 years or more, where practical.	Enable discretion to require fish passage where practical.		Reject
Rangitāne o Wairarapa (S85)	S85.001			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	5.4.8 Damming and diverting water		Oppose	Considers long term consents for permanent diversion allows for review of the consent and effects, including consultation with mana whenua and other parties to ensure the diversion remains appropriate.	Delete proposed rule.		Reject
Rangitāne o Wairarapa (S85)		Ātiawa ki Whakaron gotai Charitable Trust	FS2.046	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	5.4.8 Damming and diverting water		Oppose	Support in principle.	Disallow	Considers long term consents for permanent diversion allows for review of the consent and effects, including consultation with mana whenua and other parties to ensure the diversion remains appropriate.	Accept
Rangitāne o Wairarapa (S85)		Forest & Bird	FS23.455	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	5.4.8 Damming and diverting water		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
Rangitāne o Wairarapa (S85)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.001	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	5.4.8 Damming and diverting water		Support	NHoŌ agree with Rangitāne o Wairarapa that Proposed Rule 151A is inappropriate as it removes the mechanism to review the consent conditions over a long term (i.e. beyond 10 years) and whether the diversion remains appropriate.	Allow	Allow the submission point and relief sought to delete the proposed rule.	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Beds of Lakes and Rivers – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Wellington Water Ltd (S151)	S151.047			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.	Amend		Supports the protection of native bird species habitat and the outcome general condition 5.4.4(n) seeks to achieve but is concerned the proposed amendment would require an ecologist to determine when the named birds are roosting, nesting, or foraging and this work would take significant time which has the potential for adverse effects on the environment while this work is underway. Considers there needs to be more certainty to plan users as to when works can occur, and when they cannot, and less reliance on a third party to confirm if a condition can be met.	Provide more certainty to plan users in general condition (n) so that a third party is not required to assess when named birds are identified as nesting, roosting and foraging, at the work site. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Reject
Wellington Water Ltd (S151)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1374	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.157			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.157	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Beds of Lakes and Rivers – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Upper Hutt City Council (S225)	S225.050			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.	Oppose		Concerned with significant change to activities in (n). Amended wording implies that at no point are works able to be undertaken if identified birds are roosting and nesting even outside the critical period. Notes there are some birds which may nest year-round, on potentially significant infrastructure such as bridges which require maintenance.	Retain as operative, do not amend as proposed.		Reject
Upper Hutt City Council (S225)		Woodridge Holdings Ltd	FS16.061	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.		Not stated	The amendment to point (n) implies that works could not be undertaken if identified birds are in the area for any purpose. As some birds may nest year round this clause may prevent the maintenance or construction of significant infrastructure even outside the critical period.	Allow	Retain as operative, do not amend as proposed.	Reject
Upper Hutt City Council (S225)		Forest & Bird	FS23.878	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.034			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.	Support		Supports greater clarity.	Retain as proposed.		Accept
Forest & Bird (S261)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.361	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
Forest & Bird (S261)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.653	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Beds of Lakes and Rivers – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Civil Contractors New Zealand (S285)	S285.013			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.	Amend		Considers urgent works may not be able to wait for an ecologists assessment and clause (n) may lead to poor environmental outcomes.	Provide more certainty to plan users in general condition (n) so that a third party is not required to assess when named birds are identified as nesting, roosting and foraging, at the work site.		Reject
Civil Contractors New Zealand (S285)		Goodman Contractors Limited	FS35.013	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.		Support	I also support the rest of the points made in the Civil Contractors New Zealand submission, and ask you to consider the impacts this plan change will have on horizontal construction across the region, which will increase costs across the board, including housing, transport and water construction. Overall, I feel direct consultation with the civil construction industry has been absent in the creation of this plan, and is required to make sure the Natural Resources Plan is fit for purpose. I also feel that the consultation process is very difficult to follow, ruling most contractors out of having a say. Accordingly, I request the plan change does not go ahead.	Allow	Plan change 1 does not go ahead	Reject
Civil Contractors New Zealand (S285)		PCL Contracting Ltd as part of CCNZ	FS32.005	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.		Support	Will impact on the ability to undertake emergency works or result in non-conformance	Allow	Considers urgent works may not be able to wait for an ecologist's assessment and clause (n) may lead to poor environmental outcomes.	Reject
Civil Contractors New Zealand (S285)		Multi Civil Contractors Ltd	FS49.013	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Beds of lakes and rivers general conditions.		Support	Not stated	Allow	Request that plan change does not go ahead	Reject
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)	S188.010			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Not Stated		Notes research indicates that ~2% of New Zealand waterways are naturally soft-bottomed, but due to sediment inputs into waterways, currently ~20% of New Zealand rivers and streams now have soft sediment beds, rather than natural hard-bottomed, stony beds they historically displayed (Clapcott et al, 2011). Considers restoring silted streams should be a priority and restoration/monitoring should show this restoration over time.	Not stated		No recommendation
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.010	General comments	General comments - water bodies		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)		Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	FS21.015	General comments	General comments - water bodies		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Beds of Lakes and Rivers – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1166	General comments	General comments - water bodies		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.1099	General comments	General comments - water bodies		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
Wellington Water Ltd (S151)	S151.048			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.	Amend		Notes the inclusion of 'pipeline' removes 'pipes' from this Rule, as they have different dictionary definitions. Considers pipes have lesser effects than pipelines and should be specifically mentioned.	Amend to refer to both pipes and pipelines Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Reject
Wellington Water Ltd (S151)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1375	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept





Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Beds of Lakes and Rivers – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
									other than a customary weir, in, on over or under the bed of any river or connected area must also comply with the following: (i) the fall height of the weir must be no more than 0.5m, and (ii) the slope of the weir must be no steeper than 1:30, and (iii) the face of the weir must have roughness elements that are mixed grade rocks of 150 to 200mm diameter and irregularly spaced no more than 90mm apart to create a hydraulically diverse flow structure across the weir (including any wetted margins), and (iv) the weir's lateral profile must be V-shaped, sloping up at the banks, and with a low-flow channel in the centre, with the lateral cross-section slope between 5° and 10°, and (m) for all new weirs (except customary weirs), non-passive flap gates, aprons and ramps, placed in rivers or connected areas, the information requirements of Regulations 62, 64, 65, and 68 as relevant for the structure, of the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Freshwater) Regulations 2020 shall be provided as set out in the regulations. <del>Note</del> The placement of a passive flap gate in, on, over or under the bed of any river or connected area is a non-complying activity regulated by the Resource Management (National Environment Standards for Freshwater) Regulations 2020.		
Transpower New Zealand Limited (S177)		Forest & Bird	FS23.760	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.158			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.158	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced,	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Beds of Lakes and Rivers – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)	S193.045			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.	Amend		Amend for clarity and certainty as per pNRP mediated agreement	Amend as follows: Retain "except a structure permitted by rules R125, R126 and R127"  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1001	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd (S2)	S2.014			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers the removal of the reference to "structure" from the chapeau of the rule significantly reduces the range of structures that are permitted under the rule. States this change removes the ability to construct minor structures within the bed of a river without the need for resource consent and will make the following permitted structures a discretionary activity under R145:  - Intake structures, - Outfall structures, - Weirs (excluding those used for sediment retention) - Fish screens, - Fish passage devices, - Navigational aid structure, and - temporary structures.  Considers the existing rule appropriately provides for minor structures (less than 10 m2) through permitted conditions limiting the size of a structure. Considers the requirement to seek resource consent for the above activities is onerous, will result in unnecessary consenting costs, and is not efficient or effective.  Considers this matter would be addressed by reinstating the words "structure, including" to the chapeau of the rule. States the Section 32 evaluation provided little explanation for the proposed change, other than the rule providing for a broad range of structures is inappropriate. States there is no acknowledgment of the efficiency of requiring resource consent for	Changes are rejected and Rule R128 is retained as operative		Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Beds of Lakes and Rivers – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								all minor structures that are no longer permitted.  			
Winstone Aggregates (S206)	S206.030			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers the proposed change will remove the ability to construct minor structures within the bed of a river without the need for resource consent, noting examples of structures that would be come discretionary activities. Notes the existing rule provides for minor structures (less than 10m2) and considers discretionary activity status for such structures onerous. Considers insufficient explanation is provided for the change in the s32 evaluation.	Changes are rejected and Rule R128 is retained as operative.		Reject
Rosco Ice Cream Ltd (S220)	S220.009			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.	Oppose		Notes Rule R128 was originally promulgated to reduce the need to obtain permits for minor structures needed in the bed of a watercourse/lake provided the works met the general standards. Opposes the changes as they reduce the scope of activities that would be permitted.	Retain the operative rule R128.		Reject
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)	S222.013			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.	Amend		To give effect to Policies 7 and 9 NPSFM and Policy 30 NRP.	Ensure that activities avoid the loss of river extent and values and that the habitats of indigenous species are protected.  Introduce TAs for habitat, natural form and character which activities must achieve.		Reject
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.194	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Forest & Bird	FS23.169	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Reject
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated (“MPHRCI”)	FS27.905	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Beds of Lakes and Rivers – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’’. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Wellington Water Ltd	FS39.001	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.		Oppose	This is too onerous for Council water infrastructure.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.035			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.	Amend		Supports the exclusion of “erosion protection structures”, however, notes the provision for “debris arrester structures” and “structures associated with vegetative edge protection” up to 10m2 can alter the natural character and habitat of rivers. Considers this frustrates the ability to achieve outcomes of NPSFM Policy 7 and 9; and NRP Policy 30.	Remove permitted status for “debris arrester structures” and “structures associated with vegetative edge protection” to ensure these activities are managed in a way that maintains and restores physical habitat in riverbeds and natural form and character.  Introduce a condition of the rule (in the general conditions) that requires compliance with Target Attribute States. Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
Forest & Bird (S261)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.362	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
Forest & Bird (S261)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated (“MPHRCI”)	FS27.654	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Beds of Lakes and Rivers – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Civil Contractors New Zealand (S285)	S285.014			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.	Amend		Notes that inclusion of 'pipeline' excludes 'pipes' from this Rule as they have different dictionary definitions. Considers that pipes should be specifically mentioned.	Refer to both pipes and pipelines.		Reject
Civil Contractors New Zealand (S285)		Goodman Contractor s Limited	FS35.014	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.		Support	I also support the rest of the points made in the Civil Contractors New Zealand submission, and ask you to consider the impacts this plan change will have on horizontal construction across the region, which will increase costs across the board, including housing, transport and water construction. Overall, I feel direct consultation with the civil construction industry has been absent in the creation of this plan, and is required to make sure the Natural Resources Plan is fit for purpose. I also feel that the consultation process is very difficult to follow, ruling most contractors out of having a say. Accordingly, I request the plan change does not go ahead.	Allow	Plan change 1 does not go ahead	Reject
Civil Contractors New Zealand (S285)		PCL Contractin g Ltd as part of CCNZ	FS32.006	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.		Support	Not stated	Allow	Notes that inclusion of 'pipeline' excludes 'pipes' from this Rule as they have different dictionary definitions. Considers that pipes should be specifically mentioned	Reject
Civil Contractors New Zealand (S285)		Multi Civil Contractor s Ltd	FS49.014	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.		Support	Not stated	Allow	Request that plan change does not go ahead	Reject
China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)	S288.032			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers there is misalignment with the NES-CF.	<p>Amend to: </p><p>has the same meaning as given in section 3 of the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Commercial Forestry Regulations 2023. </p>		Reject
China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)		Forest & Bird	FS23.056	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
Rangitāne o Wairarapa (S85)	S85.004			5.5 Water allocation rules	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.	Amend		Supports proposed changes to beds of lakes and rivers rules to improve clarity. Concerned sites of significance to mana	Amend to include requirement to consult with tangata whenua for activities in the beds of lakes and rivers.		Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Beds of Lakes and Rivers – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								whenua not identified in Schedule C will not be protected.			
Rangitāne o Wairarapa (S85)		Forest & Bird	FS23.458	5.5 Water allocation rules	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Reject
Rangitāne o Wairarapa (S85)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.004	5.5 Water allocation rules	Rule R128: New structures – permitted activity.		Support	NHoŌ supports the proposed amendments that improve certainty by identifying which activities the rule applies to. This ensures that other structures are not inadvertently captured by the rule and considered permitted activities. We agree with Rangitāne o Wairarapa that there is no protection for sites of significance to mana whenua that are not recorded (in a regional plan) are protected from new structures. We also agree that there are many reasons why mana whenua may choose not to include certain sites within a plan and our relationship with significant sites and the sites themselves should not be limited or adversely impacted as a result.	Allow	Allow the submission point and relief sought to amend the rule to include an additional mechanism to consult with mana whenua for activities in the beds of lakes and rivers.	Reject
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.159			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R132: Minor sand and gravel extraction – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated (“MPHRCI”)	FS27.159	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R132: Minor sand and gravel extraction – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Beds of Lakes and Rivers – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.036			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R132: Minor sand and gravel extraction – permitted activity.	Amend		Notes compliance with target attribute states is required to give effect to NPSFM Policy 7 and 9; the requirement to manage water bodies to achieve all five components of ecosystem health; and NRP Policy 30.	Amend general conditions in accordance with relief sought for Rule R128.  Include target states for habitat and natural form and character.  Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
Forest & Bird (S261)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.363	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R132: Minor sand and gravel extraction – permitted activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
Forest & Bird (S261)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.655	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R132: Minor sand and gravel extraction – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Beds of Lakes and Rivers – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Forest & Bird (S261)		Wellington Water Ltd	FS39.033	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R132: Minor sand and gravel extraction – permitted activity.		Oppose	This term is broadly used but poorly understood, making it unclear what measures are needed to achieve the desired outcome	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
Forest & Bird (S261)		Wellington Water Ltd	FS39.056	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R132: Minor sand and gravel extraction – permitted activity.		Oppose	The target attribute states are already complex and highly aspirational. Further target attribute states are unnecessary and unhelpful. Setting interim target attribute states, or altering timelines will further complicate matters, particularly for sequencing and prioritisation of subcatchments.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
Rangitāne o Wairarapa (S85)	S85.005			5.5 Water allocation rules	Rule R132: Minor sand and gravel extraction – permitted activity.	Amend		Supports proposed changes to beds of lakes and rivers rules to improve clarity. Concerned sites of significance to mana whenua not identified in Schedule C will not be protected.	Amend to include requirement to consult with tangata whenua for activities in the beds of lakes and rivers.		Reject
Rangitāne o Wairarapa (S85)		Forest & Bird	FS23.459	5.5 Water allocation rules	Rule R132: Minor sand and gravel extraction – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
Rangitāne o Wairarapa (S85)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.005	5.5 Water allocation rules	Rule R132: Minor sand and gravel extraction – permitted activity.		Support	NHoŌ agrees with Rangitāne o Wairarapa that the proposed amendment to remove reference to the bed of a lake improves the clarity and interpretation of the rule and ensures that those activities are more appropriately dealt with under Rule R145. We agree with Rangitāne o Wairarapa that there is no protection for sites of significance to mana whenua that are not recorded (in a regional plan) from new structures. We also agree that there are many reasons why mana whenua may choose not to include certain sites within a plan and our relationship with significant sites and the sites themselves should not be limited or adversely impacted as a result. We note that gravel extraction from a waterbody and other activities that disturb the bed of a waterbody can have a direct impact on our relationship with the water and sites in, on or near the waterbody by restricting access to the waterbody or site, reducing water quality, disrupting natural flows and damaging sites or values associated with cultural practices. This negatively impacts our ability to uphold our inherited obligation as kaitiaki to protect and care for te taiao.	Allow	Allow the submission point and relief sought to amend the rule to include an additional mechanism to consult with mana whenua for activities in the beds of lakes and rivers.	Reject
Zealandia Te Māra a Tāne (S113)	S113.004			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.	Amend		Considers the Kaiwharawhara estuary may benefit from the installation of planter boxes alongside concrete walls as this would enhance inanga spawning habitat, provide flow variation alongside the stream and have overall positive environmental outcomes.	Amend rule to consider hard infrastructural improvements required to dechannelise river banks.		Reject
Zealandia Te Māra a Tāne (S113)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1514	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject



Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Beds of Lakes and Rivers – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.160			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.160	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)	S193.046			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.	Amend		Considers effects can be managed through conditions on a controlled activity	Provide for gravel extraction in Schedule F1 rivers as a controlled activity Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1002	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Beds of Lakes and Rivers – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)	S222.014			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.	Amend		To give effect to NPSFM.	Include Schedules A1 - A3 in Rule R133 so that activities inside a scheduled area require discretionary consent.		Reject
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.195	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Forest & Bird	FS23.170	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.906	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Beds of Lakes and Rivers – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.037			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.	Amend		Considers the discretion provided by the rule should be provided to other water bodies, particularly Outstanding Waterbodies, to ensure consistency with NPSFM Policy 7 and 9; and NRP Policy 30.	Include Schedule A1, A2, and A3 in R133 as areas where excavation, deposition, or disturbance are discretionary activities.  Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
Forest & Bird (S261)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.364	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
Forest & Bird (S261)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated (“MPHRCI”)	FS27.656	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
Donald Skerman (S37)	S37.002			5.5 Water allocation rules	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.	Support		Disturbances to the riverbed should be minimised to reduce sediment, and work should be limited around periods where recreational use is most likely. The public should be notified when water is unsuitable for swimming due to these activities..	Retain as notified		Accept

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Beds of Lakes and Rivers – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Fulton Hogan Ltd (S43)	S43.005			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.	Amend		Seeks to change the activity status from discretionary to restricted discretionary to recognise the benefits of gravel extraction for flood management.	Change the activity status to restricted discretionary		Reject
Rangitāne o Wairarapa (S85)	S85.006			5.5 Water allocation rules	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.	Amend		Supports proposed changes to beds of lakes and rivers rules to improve clarity. Concerned sites of significance to mana whenua not identified in Schedule C will not be protected.	Amend to include requirement to consult with tangata whenua for activities in the beds of lakes and rivers.		Reject
Rangitāne o Wairarapa (S85)		Forest & Bird	FS23.460	5.5 Water allocation rules	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
Rangitāne o Wairarapa (S85)		Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	FS37.006	5.5 Water allocation rules	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.		Support	NHoŌ agrees with Rangitāne o Wairarapa that the proposed amendments improve clarity and remove incorrect cross-references to Schedules in the Coastal and Marine Area (CMA). We agree that there is no protection for sites of significance to mana whenua that are not recorded (in a regional plan) from excavation, deposition, or disturbance associated with gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation. We support Rangitāne o Wairarapa whakaaro that there are many reasons why mana whenua may choose not to include certain sites within a plan and our relationship with significant sites and the sites themselves should not be limited or adversely impacted as a result. We note that gravel extraction from a waterbody and other activities at certain times or from particular locations that disturb the bed of a waterbody can have a direct impact on our relationship with the water and sites in, on or near the waterbody by restricting access to the waterbody or site, reducing water quality, disrupting natural flows and damaging sites or values associated with cultural practices. This negatively impacts our ability to uphold our inherited obligation as kaitiaki to protect and care for te taiao.	Allow	Allow the submission point and relief sought to amend the rule to include an additional mechanism to consult with mana whenua for activities in the beds of lakes and rivers.	Reject
Rangitāne o Wairarapa (S85)	S85.007			5.5 Water allocation rules	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.	Amend		Supports proposed changes to beds of lakes and rivers rules to improve clarity. Concerned that sites of significance to mana whenua not identified in Schedule C will not be protected.	Amend to include requirement to consult with tangata whenua for activities in the beds of lakes and rivers.		Reject
Rangitāne o Wairarapa (S85)		Forest & Bird	FS23.461	5.5 Water allocation rules	Rule R133: Gravel extraction for flood protection purposes or erosion mitigation inside sites of significance – discretionary activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.161			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R145: All other uses of river and lake	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Beds of Lakes and Rivers – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					beds – discretionary activity.						
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.161	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R145: All other uses of river and lake beds – discretionary activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.038			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R145: All other uses of river and lake beds – discretionary activity.	Amend		Considers prohibited activity status provides most certainty to achieve the policy direction of the NPSFM and RMA, including protection of fish passage.	Remove the word "note". Make placement of passive gates prohibited (i.e., strengthen rule beyond NES minimum). Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
Forest & Bird (S261)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.365	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R145: All other uses of river and lake beds – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
Forest & Bird (S261)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents	FS27.657	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R145: All other uses of river and lake beds – discretionary activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Beds of Lakes and Rivers – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
		Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")						and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Forest & Bird (S261)		Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS28.066	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R145: All other uses of river and lake beds – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Oppose due to lack of s32 assessment and a discretionary activity status is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
Rangitāne o Wairarapa (S85)	S85.008			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R145: All other uses of river and lake beds – discretionary activity.	Amend		Supports proposed changes to beds of lakes and rivers rules to improve clarity. Concerned sites of significance to mana whenua not identified in Schedule C will not be protected.	Amend to include requirement to consult with tangata whenua for activities in the beds of lakes and rivers.		Reject
Rangitāne o Wairarapa (S85)		Forest & Bird	FS23.462	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R145: All other uses of river and lake beds – discretionary activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
Wellington Water Ltd (S151)	S151.049			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river – permitted activity.	Support		Supports the rule, specifically the approach that the ongoing diversion can only be considered permitted if all of the conditions of the resource consent to lawfully establish the diversion have been complied with.	Retain as notified. Other relief as may be required to address the issues identified, including relief that is alternative, additional or consequential.		Accept
Wellington Water Ltd (S151)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1376	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought except for where points are consistent with	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Beds of Lakes and Rivers – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	
Te Awarua o Porirua Harbour and Catchments Community Trust & Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet (S176)	S176.007			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers Rule 5.4.8 should be a discretionary activity to provide fish passage over artificial barriers such as dams even for those that have existed for 10 years or more – rather than reverting to permitted activity status. Considers if these are consented using permitted activity status, this will authorise a past decision which leaves a negative legacy and does not address the issues around fish passage that remain.	Make Rule R151A a discretionary activity		Reject
Yvonne Weeber (S183)	S183.162			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river – permitted activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
Yvonne Weeber (S183)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.162	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)	S188.023			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river – permitted activity.	Oppose		Concerns permitted activity status for permanent diversions over 10 years risks resulting in inappropriate structures creating potential adverse effects to waterbody flow, form, and character, as well as potential fish	Retain river diversions as discretionary or restricted activities to allow for uptake of new river management systems, ideas, and materials as knowledge and technologies progress.		Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Beds of Lakes and Rivers – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								passage issues, which are contrary to Te Mana o te Wai, NPS-FM, and RMA.			
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.023	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)		Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	FS21.028	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river – permitted activity.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)		Forest & Bird	FS23.1179	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated ("MPHRCI")	FS27.1112	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject



Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Beds of Lakes and Rivers – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)		Wellington Water Ltd	FS39.276	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Permitted activity status is appropriate for diversions that come within the rule.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
Horokiwi Quarries Ltd (S2)	S2.015			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river – permitted activity.	Support		Supports the inclusion of this rule which will negate the requirement for long term river diversions where that diversion is permanent.	Retain as notified.		Accept
Winstone Aggregates (S206)	S206.031			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river – permitted activity.	Support		Supports the rule as it will negate the requirement for long term river diversions where they are permanent.	Retain as notified		Accept
Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)	S255.019			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers the rule is overly complicated and does not see the reason for differentiating between existing diversions associated with a structure and existing diversions which do not include structures, or the need to differentiate between diversions consented before or after PC1 became operative.  Seeks for clause (a) the words “as at the date of this rule becoming operative” should be deleted, and a specific date inserted as the date at which the rule becomes operative will be harder to determine as time progresses. This change should be made where ever this or a similar reference is used.	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river – permitted activity <strong> An existing permanent diversion, that was lawfully established by way of a resource consent is a permitted activity [provided] all of the conditions of the resource consent which lawfully established the diversion have been complied with. </strong> Delete all use of the words “as at the date of this rule becoming operative” in PC1 and insert a specific date.		Accept in part
Forest & Bird (S261)	S261.039			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers the rule enables an established diversion to continue indefinitely, regardless of size or effects. Considers such diversion should be subject to assessments and consenting to manage effects on natural form, character and health; ecosystem health; and Te Mana o te Wai. Considers permitted activity status inappropriate and that greater scope is required to manage potential ongoing effects.	Make a discretionary activity.  Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
Forest & Bird (S261)		New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	FS9.366	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
Forest & Bird (S261)		Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporated (“MPHRCI”)	FS27.658	5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Section 42A Report – Hearing Stream 1 – Beds of Lakes and Rivers – 3 October 2024

Original Submitter	Original Submission Point (SP)	Further Submitter (FS)	FS number	Plan section	Provision	SP Position	FS Position	Reasons	Decision Requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
Fulton Hogan Ltd (S43)	S43.006			5.4 Beds of lakes and rivers	Rule R151A: Ongoing diversion of a river – permitted activity.	Support		Supports lawfully established activities as a permitted activity	Retain as notified		Accept